
Subject: Native Icon Themeing
Posted by [mrjt](#) on Fri, 27 Jul 2007 17:15:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I've been playing around with picking up native/themed icons from GTK and Windows at run-time and I thought I'd share the results. I've attached two shots of my hacked FileSel (both using identical cross platform code) and personally I think it's a substantial improvement. I haven't bothered changing the button icons.


I'd really like an interface where I could specify the icon set to be used - either CtrlLib, native, or a different set altogether. This would require lots of changes to CtrlLib so it's not really practical to do it just for myself, but if it could be patched in I'd be happy to do the work.

Any thoughts?

Cheers,
James

File Attachments

1) [XPTheme.png](#), downloaded 813 times



Subject: Re: Native Icon Themeing


Posted by [mrjt](#) on Fri, 27 Jul 2007 17:16:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Gtk Theme

File Attachments

1) [GtkTheme.png](#), downloaded 743 times



Subject: Re: Native Icon Themeing

Posted by [unodgs](#) on Fri, 27 Jul 2007 20:10:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

That's really great! I was thinking about it too, but I also wanted to allow a user to drag any directory to the shortcut panel. Such links should be stored "somewhere". By somewhere I mean application "*.cfg" file or maybe better some global system storage. In vista there is such a storage located at c:\users\user_name\links, where system just creates file links. Probably GNOME and KDE has similar places. The only problem is XP and 9X. In this case shortcut list should be placed somewhere in the registry. If you could also add simple list instead of icons in shortcut panel that would be really cool.

Subject: Re: Native Icon Themeing
Posted by [Zardos](#) on Fri, 27 Jul 2007 20:11:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi James,

I like the new look of the fileselector!

But for my personal apps I would always use the host / native file selector. Only if I need some special functionality I would try to build my own file selector.

I guess this depends on the audience who you are targeting. If your app is for a wide range of users out there in the wild, wild internet I think it is best to come as close as possible to common "standards". Everything which behaves or looks different may be rejected easily by a user.

You see this with many successful apps for example at downloads.com. Usually these apps can be installed painlessly. The look and feel is nice and follows the common standard. Well "skinning" may be seen as a "standard" too for some kind of apps.

And even a different look of a file selector may cost some users...

Things are more relaxed for apps written for individual companies or a limited audience. Many apps I have seen which cost millions of dollars for one license are often hard to install and well sometimes look like ... not so good ...

For the time I consider a different looking file selector not as a big problem. The internals far outweigh some rough corners.

I guess this is not only true for windows.
Many GNOME and KDE users react very allergic for GUIs which look like XP...

Anyway, I prefer the new file selector.

- Ralf

Subject: Re: Native Icon Themeing
Posted by [mirek](#) on Fri, 27 Jul 2007 20:43:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You can have Windows native fileselector.

For Gnome (or X11 generally), it is unfortunately most likely impossible to mix 2 GUI toolkits. Well, we barely achieved Chameleon/GTK, but that is passive. The problem is that GTK event loop would not distribute events to U++ and vice versa...

As for fileselector, I was thinking about similar changes too (that makes us 4). I would also like to add "preview" soon...

Mirek
