
Subject: Re: is there U++ coding style reference?

Posted by [kohait00](#) on Mon, 02 Aug 2010 09:39:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

regarding function names and variables:

Upp makes a lot usage of implicit semantic (already mentioned above) i,j,k are interger counter variables (even have abreviations for it), s is mostly a temporary string, b is bool etc..
this is not hungarian style (as to quote Linus: "hungarian is braindamaged"). in times of Assist++ and other helpers one can safely burry this idea.

this is implicit usage makes really slim synataxes possible. i.e. `if(b) { //foo }`, which really adds to readability.

the function names just names, they really define the action that is expected. good example are the containers, `Add()`, `Remove()`, `Detach()`, short, readable, general. general is also really important. this is a major advantage of upp code style. the functions exposed are not too specialised but rather imply and use typical knowledge of operation (containers again good example).

the style used for the function names is Pascal Case (according to wiki . starting with capital letter and compunding each word again with its capital letter.
